

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 \* \* \*

9 SEAN RODNEY ORTH,

Case No. 3:15-cv-00131-MMD-VPC

10 Petitioner,

ORDER

11 v.

12 WARDEN, HDSP, *et al.*,

13 Respondents.

14 This action is a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28  
15 U.S.C. § 2254 by a Nevada state prisoner. The matter has not been properly  
16 commenced because petitioner submitted incomplete financial paperwork. Under 28  
17 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and Local Rule LSR 1-2, petitioner must attach both an inmate  
18 account statement for the past six (6) months and a properly executed financial  
19 certificate. Petitioner in the instant action has failed to submit an *in forma pauperis*  
20 application that provides the necessary financial information. Petitioner failed to include  
21 a copy of his inmate account statement and failed to submit a financial certificate signed  
22 by an authorized prison or jail officer. The Court is unable to see, *inter alia*, the  
23 regularity and amount of any incoming funds as well as the extent to which petitioner is  
24 making discretionary expenditures that instead could be applied to payment of the filing  
25 fee.

26 Due to the defects presented, the pauper application will be denied, and the  
27 present action will be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in a new  
28 action with a pauper application with all required attachments. It does not appear from

1 the papers presented that a dismissal without prejudice would result in a promptly-filed  
2 new petition being untimely. In this regard, petitioner at all times remains responsible for  
3 calculating the running of the federal limitation period as applied to his case, properly  
4 commencing a timely-filed federal habeas action, and properly exhausting his claims in  
5 the state courts.

6 It is therefore ordered that the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (dkt. no.  
7 1) is denied and that this action is dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new  
8 petition in a new action with a properly completed pauper application with all new and  
9 complete financial attachments.

10 It is further ordered that all pending motions are denied without prejudice.

11 It is further ordered that the Clerk of Court shall send petitioner two (2) copies  
12 each of an application form to proceed *in forma pauperis* for incarcerated persons and a  
13 noncapital Section 2254 habeas petition form, one (1) copy of the instructions for each  
14 form, and a copy of the papers that he submitted in this action.

15 It is further ordered that petitioner may file a new petition and *in forma pauperis*  
16 application in a new action, but he may not file further documents in this action.

17 It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

18 It is further ordered that a certificate of appealability is denied. Reasonable jurists  
19 would not find the dismissal of the improperly-commenced action without prejudice to be  
20 debatable or wrong.

21 DATED THIS 6<sup>th</sup> day of March 2015.

22  
23 

24 MIRANDA M. DU  
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
26  
27  
28